Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,440	NOVAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen G. Arancibia	1763
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Maureen G. Arancibia</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Belles</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 November 2004	Time: <u>4:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final rejection of Claim 1 under U.S. 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1		
Prior art documents discussed:  Tanaka et al. (JP 2000-164552)		Ŧ
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	14	
<ul> <li>✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>✓ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Maureen Wandlin  Examiner/SPE Signature)  (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Tanaka et al. teaches the delivery of a mixture of deionized water and ozone via sparger plate 14. Applicant distinguishes over the prior art by delivering such a mixture from beneath a sparger plate. Claim language to clarify this distinction and to make Claim 1 commensurate in scope with U.S. Patent 6,649,018 (the parent of this divisional) was discussed and agreed upon.